Extended Abstract: Iteration in ACL2, WITH .. DO

Matt Kaufmann J Moore

UT Austin (retired)

May 26, 2022

TALK OVERVIEW

This talk will primarily consist of a **demo**.

TALK OVERVIEW

This talk will primarily consist of a **demo**.

For more about DO loop\$ expressions, see the documentation and supporting materials:

- ► :DOC LOOP\$.
- ► :DOC DO-LOOP\$.
- books/workshops/2022/kaufmann-moore/, especially:
 - The input file, books/workshops/2022/kaufmann-moore/do-loopsinput.lsp; and
 - The output (log) file, books/workshops/2022/kaufmann-moore/do-loopslog.txt.

Goal for today: Present the basics of how to use DO loop\$ expressions, but also exhibit their power:

Goal for today: Present the basics of how to use DO loop\$ expressions, but also exhibit their power:

 Support for imperative programming, stobjs, multiple-value return, :program mode, and :logic mode

Goal for today: Present the basics of how to use DO loop\$ expressions, but also exhibit their power:

 Support for imperative programming, stobjs, multiple-value return, :program mode, and :logic mode

Basic form: (loop\$ WITH ... DO ...)

Goal for today: Present the basics of how to use DO loop\$ expressions, but also exhibit their power:

 Support for imperative programming, stobjs, multiple-value return, :program mode, and :logic mode

Basic form: (loop\$ WITH ... DO ...) (It is legal, but unusual, to omit the WITH part.)

Goal for today: Present the basics of how to use DO loop\$ expressions, but also exhibit their power:

 Support for imperative programming, stobjs, multiple-value return, :program mode, and :logic mode

Basic form:

(loop\$ WITH ... DO ...)

(It is legal, but unusual, to omit the WITH part.)

Compare with FOR loop\$ (see 2020 ACL2 Workshop): (loop\$ FOR ...)

Goal for today: Present the basics of how to use DO loop\$ expressions, but also exhibit their power:

 Support for imperative programming, stobjs, multiple-value return, :program mode, and :logic mode

Basic form:

(loop\$ WITH ... DO ...)

(It is legal, but unusual, to omit the WITH part.)

Compare with FOR loop\$ (see 2020 ACL2 Workshop): (loop\$ FOR ...)

Evaluation strips out certain keywords and calls Common Lisp loop, for guard-verified and :program-mode evaluation.

** DEMO **

Remarks

The only proof we've shown is a guard proof. Support for proofs involving DO loop\$ expressions is under further development.

Remarks

The only proof we've shown is a guard proof. Support for proofs involving DO loop\$ expressions is under further development.

Such proof debugging depends on translation to calls of the recursive function, DO\$. See the paper and :DOC do-loop\$.

Remarks

The only proof we've shown is a guard proof. Support for proofs involving DO loop\$ expressions is under further development.

Such proof debugging depends on translation to calls of the recursive function, DO\$. See the paper and :DOC do-loop\$.

Note that OF-TYPE informs the Common Lisp compiler but : GUARD does not, much like types vs. guards for a defun.

The following example is from a parser I (Matt) wrote for the project reported in the workshop paper by Hunt, Ramanathan, and Moore: "VWSIM: A Circuit Simulator".

The following example is from a parser I (Matt) wrote for the project reported in the workshop paper by Hunt, Ramanathan, and Moore: "VWSIM: A Circuit Simulator".

I don't expect it to make sense in the talk; in fact I've removed comments (and done other formatting) to fit it on the next three slides.

The following example is from a parser I (Matt) wrote for the project reported in the workshop paper by Hunt, Ramanathan, and Moore: "VWSIM: A Circuit Simulator".

I don't expect it to make sense in the talk; in fact I've removed comments (and done other formatting) to fit it on the next three slides.

The point here is just to illustrate that DO loop\$ expressions can be used in "real" code. Note that the following function is in :program mode.

The following example is from a parser I (Matt) wrote for the project reported in the workshop paper by Hunt, Ramanathan, and Moore: "VWSIM: A Circuit Simulator".

I don't expect it to make sense in the talk; in fact I've removed comments (and done other formatting) to fit it on the next three slides.

The point here is just to illustrate that DO loop\$ expressions can be used in "real" code. Note that the following function is in :program mode.

Imperative "keywords" are capitalized for emphasis.

```
(defun next-line-etc (pos str lineno end)
 (LOOP$
 WITH newline-pos WITH start-pos = pos
 WITH next-pos = pos WITH next-lineno = lineno
 WITH line = "" WITH comment-p = nil
 DO
  :VALUES (nil nil nil)
  :MEASURE (nfix (- end next-pos)) ; not needed here
  (cond
  ((= next-pos end)
    (RETURN (my line nil nil)))
   ((and comment-p
         (not (member (char str next-pos) '(\#+\#+)))
    (RETURN (mv line next-pos next-lineno)))
   (t
    (PROGN
      (SETQ comment-p (eql (char str next-pos) #\*))
      (SETQ newline-pos
            (search *newline-string* str :start2 next-pos))
      (SETQ start-pos
            (scan-past-whitespace str next-pos
                                   (or newline-pos end)))
```

```
(cond
(newline-pos
 (PROGN
   (SETQ next-pos (1+ newline-pos))
   (SETQ next-lineno (1+ next-lineno))
   (cond
    (comment-p (PROGN)) ; just keep going
    (t (PROGN
         (SETO line
               (let ((s1 (subseq str
                                  start-pos
                                  newline-pos)))
                  (if (equal line "")
                     s1
                    (concatenate 'string line s1))))
         (cond
          ((= next-pos end)
           (RETURN (mv line nil nil)))
          ((eql (char str next-pos) #\+)
           (SETQ next-pos (1+ next-pos)))
          ((eql (char str next-pos) #\*) (PROGN))
          (t (RETURN
              (mv line next-pos next-lineno)))))))))
```

Thank you. And we thank ForrestHunt, Inc. for the support.